

Learning Sessions

20 One-Liners | NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

IIBF - Revision - NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

Study more at iibf.store • YouTube: Learning Sessions • WhatsApp your course name to 8360944207 for daily updates

20 One-Liners to Remember

Quick-fire facts for last-minute revision.

- 1 A term loan is generally classified as an NPA when interest or instalment stays overdue for more than 90 days.**

Once income stops flowing for over 90 days on a term loan, the bank must treat the asset as non-performing.

- 2 Gross NPA percentage equals total NPAs divided by total advances, multiplied by 100.**

This ratio shows what share of a bank's total loan book has turned bad.

- 3 Net NPA equals Gross NPA minus the provisions the bank has already set aside.**

Net NPA reflects the uncovered risk remaining after provisioning is deducted from gross bad loans.

- 4 NPA assets are classified into four categories: standard, sub-standard, doubtful and loss.**

Each category carries a different provisioning requirement based on how long the account has stayed irregular.

- 5 Standard-asset provisioning is a small precautionary charge, far lower than the rate applied to NPAs.**

Standard loans are still performing, so only a fraction of a percent is set aside against them.

Learning Sessions

20 One-Liners | NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

IIBF - Revision - NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

6 For a sub-standard account, secured and unsecured balances are not split for the base provisioning rate.

The entire sub-standard outstanding attracts the same base percentage regardless of security cover.

7 An unsecured sub-standard account attracts an extra provisioning charge on top of the base rate.

The additional charge reflects the higher risk of the portion with no security backing.

8 Doubtful assets are split into age-based sub-categories, with provisioning rising as the account ages further.

The longer an account stays doubtful, the higher the provisioning percentage a bank must hold against it.

9 The oldest doubtful accounts attract full provisioning even on the secured portion of the balance.

Once a doubtful account crosses the highest age bracket, recovery is treated as remote and fully provided for.

10 The unsecured portion of any doubtful account attracts full provisioning irrespective of how long it has aged.

An unsecured doubtful balance has no collateral cushion, so it is provided for at the maximum rate.

11 A loss asset requires full provisioning on the entire outstanding balance.

Loss assets are identified as effectively uncollectible, so the whole exposure must be provided for.

Learning Sessions

20 One-Liners | NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

IIBF - Revision - NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

-
- 12** **Provision Coverage Ratio is calculated as total provisions held divided by gross NPA, expressed as a percentage.**

PCR shows how much of the bad-loan book a bank has already cushioned with provisions.

- 13** **A higher Provision Coverage Ratio means a bank is better protected against future loan-loss shocks.**

More provisioning already set aside reduces the hit to profitability if recoveries fail.

- 14** **Doubtful accounts always require the secured and unsecured portions to be provisioned separately.**

Unlike sub-standard accounts, doubtful accounts never share a single blended base provisioning rate.

- 15** **An account can be upgraded back to standard classification once the borrower clears all overdue amounts.**

Regular repayment restores an irregular account to performing status under asset classification norms.

- 16** **CAIIB BFM NPA case studies typically require computing provisions across standard, sub-standard, doubtful and loss buckets in sequence.**

Working bucket by bucket in a fixed order reduces calculation errors under exam time pressure.

Learning Sessions

20 One-Liners | NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

IIBF - Revision - NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

- 17 A narrower gap between Gross NPA and Net NPA indicates a bank has made stronger provisioning against its bad loans.**

When provisions closely track gross NPAs, less uncovered risk remains on the books as net NPA.

- 18 Rising doubtful and loss asset balances signal weakening recovery and growing provisioning pressure on a bank.**

A shift of accounts into worse buckets forces higher provisioning charges that squeeze profitability.

- 19 Exam candidates should always verify exact NPA provisioning percentages against the latest official IIBF or RBI norms.**

Regulators periodically revise provisioning rates, so figures memorised earlier can become outdated.

- 20 A frequent CAIIB exam mistake is splitting a sub-standard account's secured and unsecured portions for the base provisioning rate, which is incorrect.**

The base rate on a sub-standard account applies to the whole balance; only the unsecured extra charge is calculated separately.

Keep Learning with Learning Sessions

Learning Sessions

20 One-Liners | NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

IIBF - Revision - NPA Case Study for CAIIB BFM 2026: Provisioning Norms, Gross & Net NPA

iibf.store

Mock tests, video classes, one-liners & PDFs — all in one portal.

YouTube: Learning Sessions

Subscribe for free daily video classes.

WhatsApp

8360944207

Send your course name for daily updates.

Learning Sessions